UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,949	10/12/2007	Chris G. Dixon	003006-002548	5444
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			EXAMINER	
			SNYDER, MELISSA A	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/08/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketDept@uspatent.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

<b>Application No.</b> 10/593,949	Applicant(s) DIXON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MELISSA A. SNYDER	3763

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2012 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed c) 📙 within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. 🔲 The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. X The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. \_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the nonallowable claim(s). 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🗆 The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that the finality was premature due to no substantive changes to the previous claims being filed. However the examiner points out that new claims 12 and 13 were added in the amendment filed on 07/01/2011 and these were the reason for the introduction of the new grounds of rejection under the Sands reference. Although the examiner pointed to different reference numerals from the Noce reference, the reference is to be taken as a whole and the finality of the rejection is still deemed proper. Applicant argues that the examiner did not address the issues raised in the prior response with regard to insert molded, but the examiner did address these arguments on page 4 as well as page 6-7 of the final rejection office action. Although claims are interpreted in light of the specification, claim limitations cannot be read into the claims from the specification, and the feature of insert mided is a manufacturing process, rendering it a product by process and previously discussed. Applicant has further amended to clarify the features of the claims but the proposed amendments raise new issues and would require a new search. Further with respect to the Sand reference, the examiner points to col 14 line 49-51 that show 318 is in threaded engagement with 326 and 320 engages 328 . Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s) /Nicholas D Lucchesi/ /MELISSA A SNYDER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763 Examiner, Art Unit 3763

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 09-2010)